# Social and Economic Impact of MGNREGAon Rural Workers: An Empirical Study of Problems and Prospects

# Alka Jain

Research Scholar, Department of Economics, Sri SatyaSai University of Technology and Medical Sciences, Sehore,M.P.

**ABSTRACT:** - MNREGA is one of the administration's biggest lead plots, and is the biggest employment creation program of its kind on the planet. Supporters trust that it is important to help country specialists smooth pay in times of pain and increment work advertise access for underestimated gatherings, though faultfinders contend that it is taking work from the grieved agrarian segment and accomplishing more mischief than great. What does the confirmation truly let us know - is MNREGA working or would assets be better spent somewhere else? MGNREGA gives work to their employment and the general population who were ignorant was likewise given an open door. This keeps the relocation of the general population by giving other wage producing exercises. In this study we have also tried to analysis the socio-economic condition of rural workers as well as problems, prospects & remedies.

## Keywords:-MGNREGA, Socio-economic, Rural workers, Problems, Prospects & Remedies.

I.

# **INTRODUCTION:**

Since the presentation of the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Act (MNREGA) in the principal regions around 10 years back, the evaluation of its monetary effects has been at the heart of the open deliberation about program benefits. As the world's biggest open works program and because of several eager components, (for example, the lawful certification of business and the nonappearance of point by point qualification criteria), there has been significant enthusiasm for how MNREGA has influenced provincial work showcases in an assortment of ranges. These incorporate business era, wage increments, and the arrangement of a wellbeing net.

# Business era and wage increments

As an open works program, one of the principle expected advantages of MNREGA is the making of extra business: specialists are procured to perform manual work on neighborhood open area extends and paid the lowest pay permitted by law. This implies the business ensure plan ought to be particularly alluring amid times when there is minimal option work accessible, for example, amid the rural off-season. MNREGA is likewise set up to be appealing for ladies, who get paid an indistinguishable wage from men, though there is a significant sexual orientation wage hole in private business. Since MNREGA is intended to be a request driven program that specialists can exploit whenever of the year, the plan likewise can possibly influence rustic work markets when option openings for work are accessible. Particularly in zones where the lowest pay permitted by law laws are not all around implemented, the program could prompt wage increments in private easygoing business if the accessibility of MNREGA employments expands specialists' haggling power. In the meantime, this could prompt a swarming out of private segment occupations and check the general business creation impacts. The net advantages of the program are accordingly indistinct without information driven investigation.

#### Short-and long haul security net

Notwithstanding the potential effects amid ordinary circumstances, MNREGA can likewise be utilized as a short-and long haul security net. The program can be taken up for brief periods after unfriendly monetary stuns, for example, an awful reap season, and can help family units to smooth utilization all the more viably. The program may likewise be useful in the long haul: Since family units realize that MNREGA work is accessible should they fall on harsh circumstances, the presence of this security net may enable them to restreamline time distribution and to invest more energy in fulfilling however more dangerous types of business, for example, independent work. How vital these diverse impacts are practically speaking is an exact question. Poor usage quality, for instance as employment proportioning or defilement, will weaken these impacts. In the course of the most recent few years, various research papers have broken down the work showcase impacts of MNREGA utilizing diverse datasets, observational estimation procedures and result factors. What they have in like manner is an emphasis on the primary years of MNREGA when the program was taken off in stages. This is driven by research plan thought processes. Keeping in mind the end goal to causally gauge the effect of the program on the provincial work showcase, specialists should have the capacity to think about business and wages in MNREGA regions to what the circumstance would have been whether they had not gotten the program. By and by, this is normally done by contrasting MNREGA areas with comparable regions without access to the plan. Subsequently, scientists exploit the staging in of MNREGA in the vicinity of 2006 and 2008.

Some of my own work utilizes this staging in of the program to concentrate the work advertise effects of MNREGA by misusing the administration calculation that was utilized to apportion regions to execution stages. As per the administration calculation, the 200 "most in reverse" provincial areas in India got MNREGA in 2006, the following 130 in 2007, and the rest of the locale in 2008. This implies amid the initial two years of the program, it is conceivable to think about comparative locale as far as financial attributes which got or did not get the program. In our experimental research, we discover no confirmation of generous business era impacts, wage increments or swarming out of private part work, in spite of the fact that the impacts are somewhat bigger amid the agrarian off-season. There is likewise no critical variety crosswise over sexual orientation in the outcomes. Rather, MNREGA is by all accounts a great deal more powerful as a security net: MNREGA business increments considerably after a negative precipitation stun, and laborers move from easygoing private work into independent work, which is steady with long haul wellbeing net contemplations.

#### What we know and future research questions

By and large, the current writing on the country work advertise effects of MNREGA proposes that the program has produced imperative short-and long haul wellbeing net advantages alongside some business era impacts amid the horticultural off-season. There is no proof of a vast swarming out impact of private division work. These are essential advantages of the work ensure plot in spite of across the board execution quality difficulties particularly in the beginning of the program. In any case, it is less certain how precisely the program has impacted country easy going wages.

# II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

**Dreze, J. (2005)** contends that MGNREGA places implement capable commitment on the state and gives haggling energy to the workers. To finance this colossal program, he recommends to expand the aggregate GDP of the nation by in-wrinkling the assessment GDP proportion with the goal that we could find cash to meet general society social spending costs. He addresses three basic feelings of trepidation about this program. They are the dread of expanded debasement because of far reaching spending, the dread of financial chapter 11 and the dread among government experts whether oppressed workers will indict neighborhood specialists. Such feelings of trepidation, he says, are inconsequential and are raised because of the misconception about the working example of the law.

**Bhatty, K. (2006)** highlights the need of legitimate crèche offices in worksites, and of appointing a man especially for tyke mind in these worksites. It ought to be ensured that these offices are given so that the ladies can work under MGNREGA without making their own youngsters endure. This paper is composed about the execution of MGNREGA soon after two months of its dispatch in Durgapur area, Rajasthan in light of "padyatra" embraced en in this locale which filled in as a social review of MGNREGA.

Krishnamurty, J. (2006) concentrates on MGNREGA and related projects as a solution for face the result of catastrophic events/emergencies, particularly the extensive emergencies that happen locally. It centers consideration on the fast reaction instrument that should be tended to inside MGNREGA.

**Mathur, L. (2007)** composes his article when the National Rural Employment Guarantee Act entered its second year of execution. This paper talks about its encouraging so far. The NREGA is the first unmistakable sense of duty regarding the poor that they can hope to procure a living pay, without loss of respect and request this as a privilege. In its unfathomability, reach and degree, it is really gigantic. It is absolutely difficult for usage. No doubt some retrogressive states have shown improvement over a few of the dynamic ones. A few states profited of bigger sums in respect to the quantity of NREGA areas. Some expansive parameters of what speaks to truly, a change in the improvement situation of India are advertised.

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:**

- To review the problems and prospects of MGNREGA with respect to rural laborers.
- To review the social & economic impact of MGNREGA on rural labors/workers.

# III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

In order to carry out any research investigation, there is a need of a systematic method and to adopt a well-defined procedure for each and every research. There is also a need methodology of any research constitutes the selection of representative sample of the universe or the general population, application of the appropriate research tools and the techniques. To fulfill the objectives of our study following methodology will use for the study and report preparation.

# **RESEARCH DESIGN**

Research Design refers to pattern or an outline of a research proposal. It comprises a series of prior decision that is taken together and provides a plan for executive a research report. As different districts of Madhya-Pradesh will covered in the study, so it will be altogether a self-reported survey and research design to conduct the study will be a survey design.

## SOURCES OF DATA

Both primary and secondary methods will be used for collection of data. Semi structured questionnaire was used as primary source of collecting data for the completion of study. The questionnaire comprises different parts. Annual reports, Library research (articles, journals, dissertations books, accessed database, etc.) will be used as secondary source for collecting data.

# SAMPLE TECHNIQUE

\*Non Probability sampling Convenient Sampling will be the type of sampling which will be used to collect the data from the respondentsinMadhya-Pradesh was covered as the area for the research work.

## SAMPLE UNIT

4 Districts of Madhya-Pradesh

# SAMPLE SIZE

100 Respondents

#### SAMPLING AREA

Districts of Madhya-Pradesh (Rural Areas)

#### **RESEARCH INSTRUMENTS**

Research instrument serve as measurement tools. Research instrument was including as questionnaire, personal interview, observation, self-report instrument or scale etc.

#### IV.

#### DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

Sr. No.	Gender	Respondents
1	Male	65
2	Female	35
	Total	100

<b>m</b> 1 1	
Table:	
raute.	1

Sr. No.	Age	Respondents			
1	Below 25	25			
2	25-40	15			
3	40-60	35			
4	60 above	25			

T-1-1-	2
Table:	2

1000.2						
Sr. No.	District	State	Respondents			
1	Sehore	M.P	25			
2	Bhopal	M.P	25			
3	Ujjain	M.P	25			
4	Shajapur	M.P	25			

T.	1.1		1
1.2	n	e.	1

1000.5				
Sr. No.	Respondents	Caste		
1	28	General		
2	21	OBC		
3	26	SC		
4	16	ST		
5	09	Others		

Sr. No.	Income Per Month	Respondents
1	Below 2000	45
2	2000-5000	25
3	5000-8000	20
4	8000 above	10

Tab	le:	5

Tuble: 5						
Facilities	General	OBC	SC	ST	Others	
Connection	28	21	26	16	09	
Electricity	17	15	19	09	04	
Water	17	15	19	09	04	
Without Connection	11	06	07	07	05	

#### Table:6Caste wise distribution of the facilities

PERFORMANCE OF THE MAHATMA GANDHI NREGA (National Overview )						
	(FY 06- 07) 200 Districts	(FY 07- 08) 330 Districts	(FY 08- 09) 615 Districts	(FY 09- 10) 619 Districts	(FY 2010- 11) 626 Districts	(FY 2011- 12) 626 Districts (Provisio nal)
Employment provided to households:	2.10 Crore	3.39 Crore	4.51 Crore	5.26 Crore	5.49 Crore	5.04 Crore
Persondays [in Cro	re]:					
Total:	90.5	143.6	216.3	283.6	257.2	216.3
SCs/STs	55.9 [61%]	81.4 [56%]	118.4 [54%]	145.2 [51%]	132.4 [52%]	87.3 [40%]
Women:	36.4 [40%]	61.2 [43%]	103.6 [48%]	136.4 [48%]	122.8 [48%]	103.8 [48%]
Persondays per HH	43 days	42 days	48 days	54 days	47 days	43 days
Budget Outlay: (In Rs Crore)	11300	12000	30000	39100	40100	40000
Total available fund [including OB]: In Rs. Crore.	12073.6	19305.8	37397.1	49579.2	54172.1	48832.5
Expenditure (In Rs. Crore.) [percentage against available funds]	8823.4 [73%]	15856.9 [82%]	27250.1 [73%]	37905.2 [76%]	39377.3 [73%]	38034.7 [78%]
Expenditure on Wages (In Rs. Crore.)	5842.4 [66%]	10738.5 [68%]	18200.0 [67%]	25579.3 [70%]	25686.5 [68%]	24860.9 [69%]
Total works taken up (In Lakhs):	8.4	17.9	27.8	46.2	51.0	82.5
Water conservation:	4.5 [54%]	8.7 [49 %]	12.8 [46%]	23.4 [51%]	24.3 [48%]	44.1 [53%]
Provision of Irrigation facility to land owned by SC/ST/ BPL and IAY beneficiaries:	0.8 [10%]	2.6 [15 %]	5.7 [20%]	7.7 [17%]	9.2 [18%]	9.5 [12%]
Rural Connectivity:	1.8 [21%]	3.0 [17 %]	5.0 18%]	7.6 [17%]	9.3 [18%]	17.9 [22%]
Land Development:	0.9 [11%]	2.9 [16%]	4.0 [15%]	6.4 [ 14%]	7.0 [14%]	7.7 [9%]

## V. **DISCUSSION & FINDINGS OF STUDY:**

- The number of days, the laborers worked under MGNREGA projects was relapsed on the elements like age, sex, training, family size and landholding size of the specialists to break down the connection between the quantity of days worked under the program and the contributing components.
- The rate increment in the pay earned subsequent to working under MGNREGA program was 8.04 and this expansion was factually critical. In absolute pay, the share of rural pay was most elevated (69%), trailed by salary from non-horticulture (21%) and wage from MGNREGA (10%).
- It was because of the way that inclination to work under the program was simply after farming, since agribusiness guaranteed their nourishment security.

- It is watched that the effect of MGNREGS is vital among the sleeved laborers to build the wage salary in the Study area.
- •

## VI. CONCLUSION:

The review has demonstrated that MGNREGA program frequently represents the issue of work shortage for a portion of the farming operations connected to market wage rates. As an outcome, agriculturists have cut down their grounds under various products, leaving the land neglected. Consequently, the issue must be wrangled to see that 100-day work ensure under MGNREGA be limited entirely to months when there is no collecting or sowing action. MGNREGS has contributed considerably towards the financial strengthening of provincial ladies. With these benefits, the MGNREGS additionally has a few issues that need addressable. MGNREGS has a part in the climb of market wage of the workers. The wage rate settled by the MGNREGS goes about as a standard the lowest pay permitted by law beneath which the market compensation can't go in light of the fact that any such lessening would again prompt exchange of work from the agrarian part to the MGNREGS. Amid the overview it was additionally seen we likewise discovered brief span and transitory relocation among MGNREGA families.

## **REFERENCES:**

- [1] Azam, M (2012), 'The Impact of Indian Job Guarantee Scheme on Labor Market Outcomes: Evidence from a Natural Experiment', IZA Discussion Paper 6548.
- [2] Berg, E, S Bhattacharyya, R Durgam and M Ramachandra (2012), 'Can Rural Public Works Affect Agricultural Wages? Evidence from India', CSAE Working Paper WPS/2012-05.
- [3] Bhatty, K. (2006, May 20-26). Employment Guarantee and Child Rights. Economic & Political Weekly, 41(20), 1965-1967.
- [4] Bhaduri A. (2005), "Development with Dignity. New Delhi: National Book Trust. 1-107 pp.
- [5] Department of Extension Education (2010), "A Study on the Performance of NREGS in Kerala, Gandhigram Rural Institute, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu. District Panchayat (2001) District Level Census Report 2001,
- [6] GoI (Government of India) (2008), "The National Rural Employment Guarantee Act 2005 (NREGA) Operational Guidelines, Ministry of Rural Development, New Delhi.
- [7] Gladson, D. (2008), "Plougher cut Impact of NREGA. Tehelka Magazine, 5(37): 12-13.
- [8] GoK (Government of Karnataka) (2009) Chikmagalur District at a Glance, Bangalore.
- [9] Harish, B.G. (2010), "An Economic impact analysis of MGNREGA in Chikmagalur District of Karnataka.
- [10] Hari, S and K Raghunathan (2015), 'Providing More than just Employment? Evidence from the NREGA in India' Mimeo.
- [11] Imbert, Clement and John Papp (2015), "Labor Market Effects of Social Programs: Evidence of India's Employment Guarantee", American Economic Journal: Applied Economics, 7(2): 233-63.
- [12] Johnson, D (2009), 'Can Workfare Serve as a Substitute for Weather Insurance? The Case of NREGA in Andhra Pradesh', Institute for Financial Management and Research, Centre for Micro Finance, Working Paper 32.
- [13] JeaneDreze (2005). Employment Guarantee Act Promise and Demise. Kurukshetra, 53(7), 9-13. 2)
- [14] Krishnamurthy, J. (2006, march 4-10). employment guarantee and crisis response. Economic & Political Weekly, 41(9), 789-790.
- [15] Mathur, L. (2007, Dec.29). Employment Guarantee: Progress so far. Economic & Political weekly, 17-20.
- [16] Muralidharan, K, P Niehaus, and S Sukhtankar (2014), 'Building state capacity for better programme implementation: Lessons from the Andhra Pradesh Smartcard Programme', Ideas for India, 3 December 2014.
- [17] Master's Thesis(Un-published). University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bangalore.
- [18] MGNREGS official website: http://nrega.nic.in Nair, K.N, Sreedharan, T.P. and Anoopkumar, M. (2009) A Study of National Rural Employment Guarantee Programme in Three GramaPanchayats of Kasargod.
- [19] Muralidharan, K, P Niehaus, and S Sukhtankar (2015), 'Building State Capacity: Evidence from Biometric Smartcards in India', NBER Working Paper w19999.
- [20] NCEUS. (2006), "Social Security for Unorganized Workers. A Report. New Delhi: National Commission for Enterprises in the Unorganized Sector, Government of India.
- [21] Planning Commission (2003), 'Report of the Task Force: Identification of Districts for Wage and Self Employment Programmes'.
- [22] Planning Commission (2009), 'Report of the Expert Group to Review the Methodology for Estimation of Poverty'.

- [23] Pillai et.al (2014), "socio-economic conditions of mgnregaprogramme workers in krishnarayrapuramtaluk of karur district, ijmrr/ september 2014/ volume 4/issue 9/article no-10/932-939; issn: 2249-7196.
- [24] Rodgers G. (2009), "The Right to Work and the Reduction of Poverty: An Economists' View. New Delhi: Mimeo, IHD.
- [25] Ramesh, G. and Krishnakumar, T. (2009), "A study in Karimnagar district in Andhra Pradesh. Kurukshetra, 58 (2): 29-30.
- [26] Ravallion, Martin (1991), "Reaching the Rural Poor through Public Employment: Arguments, Evidence and Lessons from South Asia", The World Bank Research Observer, 6(2): 153-175.
- [27] Subbarao, K, C delNinno, C Andrews and C Rodriguez-Alas (2013), 'Public Works as a Safety Net Design, Evidence and Implementation', World Bank, Washington DC.
- [28] Shankar, Raghuraman (2009), "NREGA is a promise half-kept. Times of India: 13 September.
- [29] Zimmermann, Laura (2014a) 'Why Guarantee Employment? Evidence from a Large Indian Public-Works Program', Mimeo.
- [30] Zimmermann, Laura (2014b), 'Public-Works Programs in Developing Countries', IZA World of Labor.